

ERGODIC THEORY AND TRANSFER OPERATORS
— HANDOUT 2 —
Summer 2015

On the convergence of Ulam's method

In §3.15 of the lectures we discussed Li's result [Li76]:

Theorem 3.15. Let $T : I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise expanding transformation with $\inf |T'| > 1$. Suppose the associated Frobenius–Perron operator P has a unique invariant density $h \in \mathcal{D}(I, \mathcal{B}, m)$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{B_1^{(n)}, \dots, B_n^{(n)}\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

be partitions of I such that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} m(B_i^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $k \geq 1$ sufficiently large. Further, let $f_n \in \mathcal{D}(I, \mathcal{B}, m)$ be a fixed density of $P_n^k := \pi_n \circ P^k$. Then

$$f_n^* := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P^i f_n \rightarrow h \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } L^1.$$

Somewhat unsatisfactory about the statement of Theorem 3.15 is that we have no access to P and its powers, only to discrete approximations P_n^i for (theoretically) arbitrary $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we show a remedy to this fact, in particular

Corollary 3.15. In the setting of Theorem 3.15, we have that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\pi_n P)^i f_n \rightarrow h \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } L^1.$$

The idea of the proof is going to be to show that difference between $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\pi_n P)^i f_n$ and $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P^i f_n$ vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To this end, the following lemma will turn out to be useful. It can be easily shown by induction over k .

Lemma 1: For any $f \in L^1$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\pi_n P)^i f - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P^i f = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (\pi_n P)^j (\text{id} - \pi_n) P^{i-j} f, \quad (1)$$

where id denotes the identity operator.

Proof of Corollary 3.15. Consider the right hand side of equation (1) with $f = f_n$. Our strategy is to show that each term in this finite sum converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let us recall the following facts from the lectures:

(a) $\|f_n\|_{L^1} = 1$, since they are densities, and $V_I f_n \leq K$ for some $K \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}$, as shown in §3.15. Here, α and β were the constants from the Lasota–Yorke inequality for P^k .

(b) The Lasota–Yorke inequality (Lemma 3.9A) also gives us constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i > 0$ such that

$$V_I P^i f \leq \alpha_i V_I f + \beta_i \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

(c) By Helly's selection theorem (Theorem 3.8C), any subset \mathcal{L} of L^1 bounded in the BV-norm (i.e. there is some $C < \infty$ such that $\|f\|_{BV} \leq C$ for every $f \in \mathcal{L}$) is relatively compact¹ in L^1 .

¹A set $\mathcal{L} \subset L^1$ is relatively compact if its closure in L^1 is compact.

Combining (a) and (b) yields that

$$V_i P^i f_n \leq \bar{\alpha} K + \bar{\beta},$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \alpha_i$ and $\bar{\beta} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \beta_i$. Hence, the sequence $\{P^{i-j} f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in variation for every $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $j = 0, \dots, i-1$, thus relatively compact in L^1 by (c), since $\|P^{i-j} f_n\|_{L^1} = \|f_n\|_{L^1} = 1$. By Lemma 2 below we have that $(\text{id} - \pi_n)P^{i-j} f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $j = 0, \dots, i-1$. It can be easily seen that $\|\pi_n\|_{L^1} \leq 1$. Then, since $\|\pi_n P\|_{L^1} \leq \|P\|_{L^1} = 1$, every term in the sum on the right hand side of (1) goes to zero, and hence the sum itself. This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2: Let $\mathcal{L} \subset L^1$ be relatively compact in L^1 . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|(\text{id} - \pi_n)f\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$$

for every $n \geq N$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}$.

In fact, it holds that every projection which converges pointwise to the identity on some Banach space, converges uniformly to the identity on relatively compact subsets of that Banach space.

Proof. Let $B_\varepsilon(f) := \{g \in L^1 \mid \|f - g\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon\}$ denote the ε -ball in L^1 around f . Relative compactness of \mathcal{L} means that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite set $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon = \{f_1, \dots, f_{k_\varepsilon}\} \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_\varepsilon} B_\varepsilon(f_i).$$

This is the finite subcover property of compact sets. Now, since π_n converges pointwise, we can find $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|(\text{id} - \pi_n)f_i\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k_\varepsilon$ and $n \geq N_\varepsilon$. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{L}$ we have that

$$\|f - \pi_n f\|_{L^1} \leq \|f - f_i\|_{L^1} + \|f_i - \pi_n f_i\|_{L^1} + \|\pi_n f_i - \pi_n f\|_{L^1},$$

and for some $f_i \in \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$ each of the three terms on the right hand side is bounded by ε ; the last one due to $\|\pi_n\|_{L^1} \leq 1$. This concludes the proof. \square

Literatur

[Li76] Tien-Yien Li. Finite approximation for the Frobenius–Perron operator. A solution to Ulam’s conjecture. *Journal of Approximation theory*, 17(2):177–186, 1976.