

Exercise 8 for the lecture

NUMERICS II

WS 2015/2016

[http://numerik.mi.fu-berlin.de/wiki/WS\\_2015/NumericsII.php](http://numerik.mi.fu-berlin.de/wiki/WS_2015/NumericsII.php)

**Due: Thu, 2016-01-21**

**Problem 1**

Prove the following statements:

- a) Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be s.p.d.. Then  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_C$  if and only if  $CA$  is symmetric.
- b) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be diagonalizable, then there is an s.p.d.  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A$  is symmetric with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_C$ .

**Problem 2**

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  be symmetric positive definite and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- a) Show that there is a strictly convex functional  $J : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the solution of the linear system  $Ax = b$  is the minimizer of  $J$ .
- b) Show that the Richardson iteration

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \omega(b - Ax_k) \tag{1}$$

with  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  is equivalent to the explicit Euler method for the gradient flow associated with  $J$ .

- c) Show that there is an  $\omega > 0$  such that the Richardson iteration converges to the solution of the linear system without using the results of Chapter 4 on numerical linear algebra.
- d) Why is using an implicit Runge-Kutta method for the gradient flow not a good idea to solve the linear system ?

Please turn over.

**Problem 3**

Consider the matrix  $A$  of the model problem given in the lecture. Compute the convergence rate of the linear iteration obtained if only the block-diagonal part is used as preconditioner.